

# Rigid Local Systems: Arithmetic Properties

**Hélène Esnault**  
Freie Universität Berlin

Centennial of the **International Mathematical Union**  
September 27, 2021

# Acknowledgements

We thank

the committee for the kind invitation, Michael Groechenig for our yearlong collaboration, him and Bertrand Rémy for their helpful comments on our slides.

# Rigid Local Systems (RLS)

- $X$  topological space, for us *complex algebraic variety*;
- irreducible *local system* (LS)  $\stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \text{irreducible}$   
 $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C})$  up to gauge transformation;
- i.e.: irreducible fibre bundle  $\mathcal{V}_\rho \rightarrow X$  with locally constant transition functions.

# Rigid Local Systems (RLS)

- $X$  topological space, for us *complex algebraic variety*;
- irreducible *local system* (LS)  $\stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \text{irreducible}$   
 $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C})$  up to gauge transformation;
- i.e.: irreducible fibre bundle  $\mathcal{V}_\rho \rightarrow X$  with locally constant transition functions.

- $\rho$  *rigid*: deformation  $\rho_t : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$  gauge equivalent to  $\rho_0$ , i.e.  $\exists g_t \in GL_r(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$  with  $\rho_t = g_t \rho_0 g_t^{-1}$ , i.e. moduli point  $[\mathcal{V}_\rho]$  isolated.
- May fix determinant and conjugacy classes of local monodromy at  $\infty$  for  $X$  not proper.

$\dim(X) = 1$  Katz:  $\exists$  RLS  $\implies$

- $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\text{finitely many points}\}$ ;
- moduli points have no multiplicity;
- all RLS *come from geometry*, i.e. 'like' (summand of)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}} = H_C^1(Y_\tau), Y_\tau \subset \mathbb{A}^2 : y^N = (x - \tau) \prod_1^n (x - \tau_i).$$

$\dim(X) = 1$  Katz:  $\exists$  RLS  $\implies$

- $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\text{finitely many points}\}$ ;
- moduli points have no multiplicity;
- all RLS *come from geometry*, i.e. 'like' (summand of)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}} = H_C^1(Y_\tau), Y_\tau \subset \mathbb{A}^2 : y^N = (x - \tau) \prod_1^n (x - \tau_i).$$

Shimura varieties of rank  $\geq 2$ : Margulis superrigidity  $\implies$

- all irreducible LS are rigid;
- moduli points have no multiplicity;
- **but** we do not know whether they come from geometry.

# Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

*all RLS come from geometry.*

# Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

*all RLS come from geometry.*

Petrov's theorem (2020) inserts it in a vast arithmetic program

[Fontaine-Mazur conj.  $\xrightarrow{\text{Scholze+Liu-Zhu}}$  Simpson conj.]  
/number fields  $\xrightarrow{p\text{-adic fields}}$  / $\mathbb{C}$ .



# Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

*all RLS come from geometry.*

Petrov's theorem (2020) inserts it in a vast arithmetic program

[Fontaine-Mazur conj.  $\xrightarrow{\text{Scholze+Liu-Zhu}}$  Simpson conj.]  
/number fields  $\xrightarrow{p\text{-adic fields}}$   $/\mathbb{C}$ .

Geometricity conjecture inaccessible, except in dim. 1 (Katz).  
Instead study consequences.

# Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

## Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e.  $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . »

# Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

## Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e.  $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . »

## Crystalline conjecture (E-Groechenig 2018)

connection corresponding via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to a RLS  $/X_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$  for a.a.  $p$  is

- i) an isocrystal with a Frobenius structure;
- ii) underlying a  $p$ -adic crystalline representation (Fontaine).

« Gauß-Manin connections are so by Deligne. »

## Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given rank) all irreducible LS are RLS.

## Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given rank) all irreducible LS are RLS.

## Crystallinity true (E-Groechenig 2018 and 2021)

- when  $X$  proper: i);
- when (in rank  $\leq r$ ) all irreducible LS (of rank  $\leq r$ ) are RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity: i) and ii).

## Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given rank) all irreducible LS are RLS.

## Crystallinity true (E-Groechenig 2018 and 2021)

- when  $X$  proper: i);
- when (in rank  $\leq r$ ) all irreducible LS (of rank  $\leq r$ ) are RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity: i) and ii).

## Remark

- Not a single RLS known with moduli point with higher multiplicity.
- Crystallinity applies for all proper or rank  $\geq 2$  Shimura varieties.

## Integrality

uses Langlands program (Deligne's companions' conjecture, proven by L. Lafforgue and Drinfeld).

## Integrality

uses Langlands program (Deligne's companions' conjecture, proven by L. Lafforgue and Drinfeld).

## Crystallinity

uses theory of Higgs-de Rham flows (Lan-Sheng-Zuo).



# One consequence of our crystallinity theorem

Pila-Ananth Shankar-Tsimerman (posted on Sept. 21 2021)

André-Oort conjecture for all Shimura varieties.

[i.e: Zariski closure of special points is special  $\subset$  Shimura variety.]

# One consequence of our crystallinity theorem

Pila-Ananth Shankar-Tsimerman (posted on Sept. 21 2021)

André-Oort conjecture for all Shimura varieties.

[i.e: Zariski closure of special points is special  $\subset$  Shimura variety.]

Method

uses (crucially) crystallinity.