## **Reflexive Modules Over Rational Double Points**

Hélène Esnault 1 and Horst Knörrer 2

1 Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Gottfried-Claren-Strasse 26, D-5300 Bonn 3, Federal Republic of Germany

2 Mathematisches Institut der Universität, Wegelerstrasse 10, D-5300 Bonn 1, Federal Republic of Germany

## 0. Introduction

(0.0) This note is an appendix to the article of the same title by Artin and Verdier [1], whose main content is the following.

Let (X,0) be a germ of a rational double point over an algebraically closed field k, let  $\pi: \widetilde{X} \to X$  be the minimal desingularization and let E be the exceptional locus. There is a one-to-one correspondence  $[M_i] \leftrightarrow E_i$  between isomorphism classes of non trivial indecomposable reflexive modules  $M_i$  on (X,0) and the irreducible components  $E_i$  of E: For such a module  $M_i$  let  $M_i$  be the locally free sheaf on  $\widetilde{X}$  defined by  $M_i := \pi^*(M_i)/\text{torsion}$ . If  $r_i$  is the rank of  $M_i$  one has  $(\bigwedge^{r_i} M_i) \cdot E_j = \delta_{ij}$  and  $r_i = (\bigwedge^{r_i} M_i) \cdot Z$ , where Z denotes the fundamental cycle of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

(0.1) We assume moreover that (X,0) is a germ of a quotient singularity. This means that there exists a cover  $q:(A_k^2,0)\to(X,0)$  with Galois group  $G\subset SL(2,k)$  whose order is prime to the characteristic of k. This is always the case in characteristic 0.

- (0.2) For each  $M_i$  as in (0.0) define  $N_i$  to be the reflexive hull of  $M_i \otimes \Omega_X^1$ , where  $\Omega_X^1 = i_* \Omega_{(X-0)}^1$ ,  $i: X \{0\} \to X$  the inclusion.
- (0.3) The aim of this note is to prove the multiplication formula

Theorem.

$$\bigwedge^{2r_i} \mathcal{N}_i \cong \left(\bigwedge^{r_i} \mathcal{M}_i\right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_i).$$

(0.4) Originally this multiplication formula was proven case by case by Gonzalez-Springberg and Verdier [2]. Recently they computed examples of rational double points in characteristic 2, 3, 5 where this multiplication formula does not hold.

## 1. Proof of Theorem (0.3)

(1.1) Let  $\alpha$  be the Euler differential on  $(A_k^2, 0)$ :

$$\alpha = x \cdot dy - y \cdot dx$$
.

As  $\alpha$  is invariant under SL(2,k) one can think of  $\alpha$  as being a differential one-form on X and on  $\tilde{X}$  as well. One has the exact sequence

$$(1.1.1) 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \stackrel{\otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^1_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \stackrel{\wedge \alpha}{\longrightarrow} m_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \otimes \omega_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where m denotes the maximal ideal and  $\omega$  the dualizing module. Applying  $q_*$  and taking the G-invariants one obtains the exact sequence

$$(1.1.2) 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(X,0)} \stackrel{\otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^1_{(X,0)} \stackrel{\wedge \alpha}{\longrightarrow} m_{(X,0)} \otimes \omega_{(X,0)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(1.2) Let  $F_i$  be the non trivial indecomposable representation of G such that  $M_i \cong (\mathcal{O}_{(A^2,0)} \otimes_k F_i)^G$ . Tensorize (1.1.1) by  $F_i$ :

$$(1.2.1) \qquad 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \otimes_k F_i) \rightarrow (\Omega^1_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \otimes_k F_i) \rightarrow (m_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \otimes \omega_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \otimes F_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Apply  $q_*$  and take the G-invariant parts. Since the representation  $F_i$  is not trivial there are no invariants of degree 0. Therefore one obtains the exact sequence on (X,0):

$$(1.2.2) 0 \longrightarrow M_i \stackrel{\otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} N_i \stackrel{\wedge \alpha}{\longrightarrow} M_i \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $N_i$  is as in (0.2) and we identify  $\omega_{(X,0)}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,0)}$ .

(1.3) Pulling back (1.1.2) on  $\tilde{X}$  one obtains the complex

$$(1.3.1) 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}} \stackrel{\otimes \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{\Omega} \stackrel{\wedge \alpha}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}}(-Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\widetilde{\Omega} := \pi^* \Omega^1_{(X, 0)}$  torsion. This complex is exact away from E, and also left and right exact. Let  $\mathscr{K}$  be the kernel of  $(\wedge \alpha)$  in (1.3.1).

Claim. (i)  $\mathcal{K}$  is locally free.

(ii)  $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}(R)$  for an effective divisor R supported on E.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}(-Z)$  is locally free one has the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \mathscr{K}^{\vee} \leftarrow \tilde{\Omega}^{\vee} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}(Z) \leftarrow 0.$$

By definition  $\mathscr{K}^{\vee}$  is reflexive on  $\tilde{X}$ , and therefore  $\mathscr{K}^{\vee}$  is locally free. Dualizing once again one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\vee} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z) \rightarrow 0$$
,

and therefore  $\mathscr{K} = \mathscr{K}^{\vee \vee}$  is locally free. Since the inclusion  $\mathscr{O}_{\tilde{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{K}$  is an isomorphism outside of E one has  $\mathscr{K} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{X}}(R)$  for an effective R supported on E.

(1.4) Claim. The complex (1.3.1) is exact.

Proof. From (1.3) one obtains

$$\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Omega} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-Z+R).$$

Since  $(\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Omega}) \cdot E_l$  is non-negative for all l and (-Z) is the largest vertical divisor intersecting each  $E_l$  non negatively one has R = 0, or R = Z. Assume R = Z. Then by the theorem of Artin-Verdier (0.0), one has  $\Omega_X^1 = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ . Therefore  $m_{(X,0)}$  has two generators by (1.1.2), and (X,0) has to be smooth.

(1.5) We now pull (1.2.2) back to  $\tilde{X}$ . One obtains the complex

$$(1.5.1) 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_i \xrightarrow{\otimes \alpha} \mathcal{N}_i \xrightarrow{\wedge \alpha} \mathcal{M}_i \longrightarrow 0. (1.5.1)$$

This complex is left exact since  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is torsion free, and it is right exact as  $\pi^*$  is. In addition the complex is exact away from E. Let  $\mathcal{K}_i$  be the kernel of  $(\land \alpha)$  in (1.5.1).

**Claim.**  $\mathcal{K}_i$  is locally free.

The proof is the same as for (1.3).

(1.6) Claim.  $(\bigwedge^{2r_i} \mathcal{N}_i) \cong (\bigwedge^{r_i} \mathcal{M}_i) \otimes (\bigwedge^{r_i} \mathcal{M}_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(R_i)$  for an effective divisor  $R_i$  supported on E.

Proof. One has

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2r_i \\ \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{N}_i \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{M}_i \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inclusion  $\mathcal{M}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$  is an isomorphism outside of E.

(1.7) Claim. One has  $R_i = E_i + R'_i$  for an effective divisor  $R'_i$  supported on E.

*Proof.* Assume that  $R_i$  does not contain  $E_i$ . Then  $R_i \cdot E_i \ge 0$ . Since  $(\bigwedge^{r_i} \mathcal{M}_i) \cdot E_j = 0$  for  $j \ne i$  one has  $R_i \cdot E_j = (\bigwedge^{2r_i} \mathcal{N}_i) \cdot E_j \ge 0$  for  $i \ne j$ . Therefore  $R_i^2 \ge 0$ . As the intersection matrix of E is negative definite one gets  $R_i = 0$ . Therefore  $(\bigwedge^{2r_i} \mathcal{N}_i) \cong (\bigwedge^{r_i} \mathcal{M}_i)^{\otimes 2}$ , and by the theorem of Artin-Verdier (0.0) we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_i \cong \mathcal{M}_i \oplus \mathcal{M}_i$$
.

Restrict this isomorphism to  $U := X - \{0\} = \tilde{X} - E$  and tensor with  $M_i^{\vee}|_{U}$ . One obtains

$$(1.7.1) \operatorname{End}(M_i)|_{U} \otimes \Omega_{U}^{1} \cong \operatorname{End}(M_i)|_{U} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}).$$

The trace map  $\operatorname{End}(M_i)|_U \to \mathcal{O}_U$  defines a natural splitting since the characteristic of k is prime to the order of G and hence to the rank  $r_i$  of  $M_i$ . Taking traces on both sides of (1.7.1) one gets

$$\Omega_U^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U$$

which contradicts (1.4).

(1.8) By the normal basis theorem one has

$$q_*\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)}\cong\mathcal{O}_{(X,0)}\oplus\bigoplus_i r_iM_i$$

and

$$q_*\Omega^1_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)}\cong\Omega^1_{(X,0)}\oplus\bigoplus r_iN_i$$
.

As  $\Omega^1_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)}$  is isomorphic to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbf{A}^2,0)}$ -module one has

(1.8.1) 
$$\Omega^{1}_{(X,0)} \oplus \bigoplus r_{i} N_{i} \cong 2 \mathcal{O}_{(X,0)} \oplus 2 \bigoplus r_{i} M_{i}.$$

(1.9) Pull (1.8.1) back to  $\tilde{X}$  and apply (1.7) and (1.4). This gives

$$\mathscr{O}_{\vec{X}}(-Z+\sum r_i(E_i+R_i))\otimes \bigotimes_i \left(\bigwedge^{r_i}\mathscr{M}_i\right)^{\otimes\, 2r_i}\cong \bigotimes_i \left(\bigwedge^{r_i}\mathscr{M}_i\right)^{\otimes\, 2r_i}.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\sum r_i R_i') \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ . As each  $R_i'$  is effective we have  $R_i' = 0$  for all i, and the theorem (0.3) is proven.

## References

- Artin, M., Verdier, J.-L.: Reflexive modules over rational double points. Math. Ann. 270, 79–82 (1985)
- Gonzalez-Sprinberg, G., Verdier, J.-L.: Construction géométrique de la correspondance de Mc Kay. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér. IV Ser. 16, 409-449 (1983)

Received April 19, 1985

Note added in proof. M. Auslander and I. Reiten recently showed that the Auslander-Reitenquiver of any rational double point X over an algebraically closed field is an extended Dynkin quiver. This implies that (1.8.1) holds if one replaces  $\Omega_X^1$  by the unique non trivial extension of  $m_X$ by  $\omega_X$  and  $N_i$  by the middle term of the almost split exact sequence starting and ending with  $M_i$ . Using almost split exact sequences instead of (1.2.2) one can proceed as above to show that the multiplication formula (0.3) holds with these modified definitions, which coincide with the ones given in this note if X is a quotient singularity.